```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
   ex rel. MARY HENDOW and JULIE
                                             2:03-cv-0457-GEB-DAD
13
   ALBERTSON
                   Plaintiffs,
14
                                            ORDER
15
              v.
   UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX,
16
17
                   Defendant.
18
19
              Defendant University of Phoenix ("UOP") moves for dismissal
   of the Relators' Second Amended Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil
20
21
   Procedure 12(b)(1). UOP argues the Court lacks subject-matter
22
   jurisdiction in this action because the Relators' asserted basis of
   jurisdiction under the False Claims Act ("FCA") fails since the
23
   Relators' fraud allegations were publicly disclosed before the
24
   Relators filed their complaint. However, since UOP has pointed to no
25
26
   //
27
   //
28
   //
```

Case 2:03-cv-00457-GEB-DAD Document 290 Filed 05/19/09 Page 2 of 2

1	disclosure before the Relators came forward that reveals the
2	allegations of fraud against it in this case, the motion is denied.
3	Dated: May 18, 2009
4	105001
5	GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR.
6	United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	